

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,426	08/20/2003	Ernest Oxenknecht	60680-1638	7013
10291 75	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
SUITE 140	3 WOODWARD AVENUE E 140		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			3683	
		÷	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/644,426	OXENKNECHT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS (6) - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory period v se set or extended period for reply will, by statute ne Office later than three months after the mailing strent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is	s FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	S						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11 and 14-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,11 and 14-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specifica	ation is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or o	declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		A •					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
· ·	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on 8/16/04 has been received.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 22, been renumbered 23. Applicants submitted 2 claims numbered 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 14,15,18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stephenson et al (U. S. Patent no. 2,514,811).

See figure 3 upper base attached to nut 19, lower base 31, first isolation layer 16, second isolation layer 17, isolator attached to first layer 16, annular gap receives tubular portion of mounting surface 14

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

6. Claims 1-7 11 16 17 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson et al. in view of Peterson.

Stephenson et al is relied upon as above. Stephenson et al does not disclose a first and second tubular member construction. Peterson teaches a first and second tubular member construction (12,14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the first and second tubular member construction as taught by Peterson in the device of Stephenson et al as it has several advantages. First, it eliminates the need to have a fastener screwed from both sides which is labor intensive. Second, it prevents the mount from being assembled improperly from misalignment. Third, the dimple spring mechanism prevents the mount from falling apart even if the fastener fails.

7. Claims 8, 19, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson, as modified, in view of Schmidt (U. S. Patent no. 3,390,709).

Stephenson, as modified, is relied upon as above in paragraph 5. Stephenson, as modified, does not disclose the isolator and isolation layers being made of wire mesh. Schmidt teaches forming an isolator and isolation layers out of wire mesh. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wire mesh as taught by Schmidt in the device of Stephenson as material selection is merely a design choice. Furthermore, wire mesh is desirable because it has a "stable spring rate and good load carrying ability in the axial direction" (Schmidt column 1 lines 25-27).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8,11, 16,17,19, 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconolf

Examiner

Art Unit 3683